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First of a kind; *ab initio simulations* of formation of circumstellar disks

- Using Adaptive Mesh Refinement (RAMSES)

- Outer scale 40 pc, inner scale 0.015 AU
  - *Ratio* $1 : 2^{29} \approx 1 : 540$ million
  - Animations zoom over 7 orders of magnitude

- So far: Proof of concept
  - One $\approx 1$ solar-mass star
  - **Plan:** Get a statistically significant sample of solar mass stars
Basic Idea

- **”Anchor dynamics”** in well-observed spatial range
  - Giant Molecular Clouds (GMCs) and their fragments
    - “Larson relations” (Larson 1979, 1981; Solomon et al. 1987, ...)

- **Advantage:** Avoids having to pose unknown initial & boundary conditions
  - Similar to techniques used in simulations of galaxy formation, ”anchored” in Cosmic Microwave Background fluctuations

- **Drawback:** Must cover about 9 orders of magnitude in size
  - From GMC scales to resolving vertical structure of PP disks

However, even simulating only the PP-disk part would require a scale range from ~1000 AU to ~0.01 AU – the full range is “only about twice as expensive” (AMR!)
Three Simulation Zoom Levels

- **Giant Molecular Cloud scales**
  - Size: 40 pc
  - Refinement: $2^{16} \Rightarrow$ cell size 250 AU
  - Time duration: $\approx 10$ Myr

- **Stellar accretion scales**
  - Dynamic scale: $\sim 0.5$ pc
  - Refinement: $2^{22} \Rightarrow$ cell size 2 AU
  - Time duration: $\approx 100$ kyr $\approx$ accretion time scale

- **Accretion disk scales**
  - Dynamic scale: $\sim 5$ AU
  - Refinement: $2^{29} \Rightarrow$ cell size 0.015 AU (!)
  - Time duration: $\approx 100-1000$ yr
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- **Giant Molecular Cloud scales**
  - Size: 40pc
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- **Stellar accretion scales**
  - Dynamic scale: $\sim 0.5$ pc
  - Refinement: $2^{22} \Rightarrow$ cell size
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- **Accretion disk scales**
  - Dynamic scale: $\sim 5$ AU
  - Refinement: $2^{29} \Rightarrow$ cell size 0.015 AU (!)
  - Time duration: $\approx 100$-1000 yr

Note that all scales, up to the full 40 pc, are simultaneously present in these simulations!
Time Scale Zoom

- GMC Evolution Time Scale ~ 10 Myr
- Stellar Accretion Time Scale ~ 100 kyr
- Disk Dynamics Time Scale ~ 1 kyr

- ~ 10^8 AU
- ~ 10^4 AU
- ~ 10 AU
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Why not do the whole box at uniform resolution – much simpler ;-!? 

- At current speed a full 3-D $(2^{30})^4$ simulation would take $\sim 10^5$ ages of the Universe!
  
  - 1 PetaFlop for $10^{15}$ yr = $2^{50}$ times the largest supercomputer grants

But, if Moore’s law continues, such an increase with 50 powers of two will take less than 80 years (!)
From GMC scales to disk with jet and outflow

[ see separate animation 1 ]
Late stage, larger Keplerian disk

Zoom from about 15 AU to about 2 AU

[ see separate animation 2 ]
We measure the instantaneous accretion rate to the central sink particle

- Peaks after about 5 kyr, fluctuates due to magnetic field topology changes
- Decreases exponentially with time thereafter
We measure the instantaneous accretion rate to the central sink particle
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Integrated mass as a function of distance from the central sink particle (star)

- Initially (dashed) very steep

- Quickly develops power law dependence $m \sim r^{3/2}$, characteristic of "free fall"
  - Consequence of magnetic field braking!
The simulations illustrate the **crucial importance of magnetic fields**

- Making fast and efficient accretion possible
  - $m(r)$ follows free-fall scaling relation

- Producing bipolar outflows
  - Major sink of angular momentum and energy (!!!)

- Suppressing turbulence in disks
  - Re-enabling Goldreich & Ward ?!
The simulations produce, spontaneously, inner \textit{jets} and larger scale \textit{disk wind outflows}

- Outer parts: disk wind with speeds \(~ 10 \text{ km/s}~
  - Driven by inclined magnetic fields

- Inner parts: jet with outflow speeds \(~ 100 \text{ km/s}~
  - Resolving the near-star environment is \textit{not} necessary for jet formation
A recent paper by Connelly et al (Science 2012) shows simultaneous presence in parent bodies formed several Myr after $t = 0$ of chondrules spanning a range of ages from $t=0$.

- Can only be achieved by storing chondrules for millions of years
- Large scale outflows can do this!
Transport from SNe is rapid enough to explain initial abundance of $^{26}\text{Al}$ in the Solar System.
Transport from SNe is rapid enough to explain initial abundance of $^{26}\text{Al}$ in the Solar System.
First of a kind; \textit{ab initio} simulations of the formation of circumstellar disks

- Outer scale 40 pc, inner scale 0.016 AU
  - Scale range \(\sim 9\) orders of magnitude
  - \textit{Ab initio} modeling, anchored in GMC scales

- So far: Proof of concept
  - \textit{Plan: Get a stastically significant sample}

- Already demonstrates crucial importance of
  - \textit{Magnetic fields}, mediating large scale flows and interactions
  - Those \textit{large scale flows} can transport and store early minerals from \(t \approx 0\)
Thanks for your attention!